



Magazine of the Socialist Party USA

# *The* **Socialist**

Volume 32, Number 4 | Fall 2006 | \$1.00



## **¡Somos Unidos! We Are United!**

---

## EDITORIAL

---

Since 1980, an estimated 8 million Mexicans have migrated to the U.S. for opportunities denied them in Mexico, where half the population lives in poverty. The North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) is largely responsible for increasing the number of Mexican immigrants who come to the U.S. looking for work.

This “free” trade agreement allows goods and capital to cross the border legally but not the workers whose farm economy has been destroyed by the flood of cheap agricultural products from the U.S. The immigrants enter the U.S. not only to support themselves, but also to send money home to keep their families and communities alive.

At the same time, American popular opinion concerning immigrant laborers, propagated and supported by politicians in Washington, condemns the immigrants themselves, creating something akin to mass hysteria. This is nothing new. It has happened with other groups of people in the past.

This time, the U.S. government proposes to set aside funds to build a wall along the Mexican border to stem the flow of immigrants. If we used that money instead to aid economic development in Mexico, fewer people would risk their lives trying to cross the border.

But of all the industrialist countries, the U.S. gives the least amount of foreign economic aid.

Immigrants have always been an important part of the workforce in the U.S. and remain so today.

It is ironic that the politicians in Washington most averse to granting citizenship to workers who contribute so much to our economy are the self-same politicians who are most unwilling to raise the national minimum wage above \$5.15 per hour. We call for a minimum wage of \$15 per hour.

These are issues that we hope SP-USA candidates will speak up about. The Civil Rights Section of our platform calls for an end to the militarization of the border and an end to police raids where immigrants congregate. We defend the rights of all immigrants to health care, education, and full legal rights. ●



*San Diego, CA--Protesters at a congressional judiciary hearing on immigration stand in front of a lawn strewn with crosses, each representing one of the thousands of Mexican immigrants who have died trying to cross the border.*

---

### From the Socialist Party 2006 Platform

We call for an end to the militarization of the United States/Mexican border, and an increase in the service budget instead of the “military” budget of the INS.

We demand an end to police raids in areas where immigrants congregate.

We defend the rights of all immigrants to education, health care, and full civil and legal rights and call for an unconditional amnesty program for all undocumented people. We further call for full citizenship rights upon demonstrating residency for six months.

We call for an end to the use of “secret evidence” in deportation hearings, a ban on all immigration detentions and military tribunals, and full due process and habeas corpus rights in U.S. courts for all non-citizens on U.S. territory or in U.S. custody.

## THE SOCIALIST

339 Lafayette Street, #303  
New York, NY 10012

### Editor

Diane Brand

### Editorial Board of The Socialist

Eric Chester, Mary-Alice Herbert,  
James Marra, Tina Phillips,  
Steve Rossignol, Kristy Treacy

### Contact the SPUSA

#### Greg Pason

National Secretary  
Socialist Party USA  
339 Lafayette St. Room 303  
New York, NY 10012  
Phone: (212) 982-4586  
SocialistParty@sp-usa.org  
www.sp-usa.org

*The Socialist* is published by the Socialist Party USA. Unless otherwise noted, views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily of the Socialist Party. *The Socialist* may be reprinted with permission for non-profit purposes.

**Printed by Saltus Press, a  
unionized printshop  
Worcester, Massachusetts**

### Subscription Rates

1-year individual • \$10

1-year institution • \$25

Bulk Rate No. 1: 25 copies/issue • \$45

Bulk Rate No. 2: 50 copies/issue • \$80

**Cover Art:** Photograph by David Hanks  
taken during the May Day rally in San  
Francisco.

# *The* Socialist

Magazine of the Socialist Party USA

Volume 32, Number 4 | Fall 2006

## Contents

- 4 **Self-Determination or Assimilation: The Future of Chicanos, Mexicanos, and Our Party in the United States**  
*Raul Cano*
- 5 **Communique from the Center of My Revolution**  
*Raul Cano*
- 6 **Un Dia Sin Migrantes**  
*photo montage*
- 7 **Socialist Summer**  
*Steven Sears, Tina Phillips, and Common Ground Collective*
- 8 **Frank Zeidler's Stubborn Integrity**  
*Quinn Brisben*
- 9 **Frank Zeidler (poem)**  
*Quinn Brisben*
- 10 **Fall Electoral Campaigns**  
*Jeff Brindle*
- 11 **National Secretary Runs for U.S. Senate**  
*Gregory Pason*
- 12 **A Radical Alternative in Vermont**  
*Eric Chester and Mary-Alice Herbert*
- 14 **Reacting to Reproductive Rights**  
*Tina Phillips*

*The Socialist* is always looking for interesting, insightful, well-written stories. In particular, we are looking for work that highlights the struggles and triumphs of the working class. We will accept news articles and stories about organizing and protests, but we are also looking for short fiction, poetry, and music/arts/theater/film review. Please mark all letters to the editor as such and limit letters to 250 words; articles should not exceed 2,500 words. Submit as e-mail attachments in Microsoft Word .doc or .rtf format to SocialistParty@sp-usa.org, or by mail to: The Socialist, 339 Lafayette St. Room 303, New York, NY 10012. The deadline is the first of the month preceding each issue date.



---

## Self-Determination or Assimilation: The Future of Chicanos, Mexicanos, and Our Party in the United States

by Raul Cano

For those of us who remember the Chicano civil rights movement and the Chicano struggle to carve out a place in this “nation,” the next phase presents some challenges. The generation at the core of the Chicano movement descended from immigrants who arrived in U.S. in the early 20th Century, before the Treaty of Guadalupe, Mexico.

The Chicanos were a post-WWII generation with renewed interest in their roots and cultural identity as a defense against rampant racism and discrimination. Although the movement identified itself with the concept of Mexicanismo, it was strongly influenced by the cultural experiences that resulted from life in the United States.

In essence, a Chicano was neither Mexican nor American, but someone with a unique cultural identity. The objectives of many in the Chicano movement were self-determination and the internal colonization of the Southwest region of the United States, Aztlan, where Mexicans were the majority.

But the Chicano generation failed to establish a legitimate alternative to the capitalist system. Recent elections show that the burgeoning Hispanic middle class is more than willing to support right-wing agendas. They have voted—and will continue to vote—republican. The Democratic Party maintains a slim majority among Mexicano/Chicano voters generally, based on the misguided notion that the party represents the interests of the poor.

Since the Chicano movement, the United States has had a new influx of immigrants that includes both Mexicanos and other Hispanics. The leadership of the Chicano movement and many older Mexicanos and Hispanics are watching the immigration debate with interest as they try to protect their perceived power within the



*Un Dia Sin Migrantes, San Fransisco.*

*Photograph by David Hanks*

capitalist two-party system. On one hand, the new immigrants could provide a voter block to increase political power. On the other, the immigrants could create a backlash of racism and oppression. The immigration debate also has implications for Chicanos who have benefited from serving as intermediary in the capitalist exploitation of the new immigrants.

The new immigrants know little about the civil rights movement and cannot immediately identify with Chicanismo. The Mexicanos who live in the U.S. as undocumented workers have a strong Mexican identity with nationalist ties to Mexico, and all have a clear history as victims of imperialism, colonization, oppression, and rampant racism.

Other Hispanic groups have varying nationalist and political feeling, usually based on perceived status (political vs. economic refugee), and there are distinct cultural differences among the various groups.

So what are the roles of the Chicano generation and our Party in this new battle to secure the civil rights for over 12 million immigrants?

A minority in the Chicano movement still supports the concept of self-determination. They are the only ones presenting options outside the capitalist system. From a socialist prospective, consistent with our own Party's principles, self-determination should be a choice we support.

The “American Way” is synonymous with capitalism. Cultural resistance to assimilation provides an opportunity to create a community that is separate and anti-capitalist in nature. The Mexicano/Chicano communities in the United States should cultivate cultural resistance, capitalize on the positive aspects of their communities, and work toward creating a society free from capitalism, sexism, and homophobia.

The unifying factor for most Hispanics, including Chicanos and Mexicanos, is the issue of labor rights and

their fair treatment as workers. The Party should continue to work for the radicalization of unions and all workers in and out of the United States. A guest worker program should be opposed, and we should continue to stand against so called “free trade” agreements.

Given the recent level of activism among immigrant groups throughout the United States, how will we share with them the possibilities and liberation of socialism as it has developed within our Party?

Will new immigrants be impressed by Debs or Thomas? Will they understand the decades of racist policies that plagued both the left and the unions? It’s doubtful that new immigrants will relate to very much in our Party or in the pale left. So how do we help shape this new phase of political reality?

1. We must declare anti-racism as a component of our struggle in equal standing with feminism and democratic socialism.

2. We must accept a future of multicultural expression and an end to Euro-centric history. The concept of “American” is long dead and has no place in our socialist future.

3. We must encourage local and external support of anti-capitalist and socialist movements trying to free themselves from the stranglehold of world capitalism.

Anti-capitalism, in all its expressions, should be central to our unity with others. International work with radical political organizations should be fruitful ground for open communication with current and future immigrants.

Ultimately, the solution to the current crisis is in the hands of the millions of people who have filled the streets in last few months. We should recognize this as a legitimate grassroots movement and should support it in every way possible as a potential avenue for radicalization. In a national/global political environment that is shifting to the right, the need to present radical alternatives to capitalism is that much more important. ●

## Communiqué from the Center of My Revolution

*by Raul Cano*

Desde el medio de nada

Desde el Valle sin montañas

Desde el río que un día fue bravo

From a place far from white history

Urban mythology

Starbuck politicos

Mochachino Marxist-Leninist

Fashionista revolutionary Strokites

¡Gritamos: Revolución!

On the border between decadence and desperation

Between the Dollar and the Peso

Between the naked essence of brown skin in the summer heat  
and the noxious sweetness of privilege

¡Gritamos: Revolución!

Hijos de Guevara y Flores Magón

De Narciso y su acordeón

De Zapata y Villa

De La Virgen Selena

Madres de la Maquila

Padres del azadón

Hermanas de Chávez y Huerta

¡Gritamos: Revolución!

La cruz y tres clavos

dermal altars to the Virgen

eyebrows carefully penciled in perfect arches of resistance

Tongues that refuse to speak the broken English of compliance

Hands mangled but still able to raise the fist

¡Gritamos: Revolución!



# Un Dia Sin Migrantes

*a photo montage*

Pro-immigrant groups around the country poured into the streets on May Day, 2006, for “A Day without Migrants” demonstrations and solidarity actions. The photos here are from May Day rallies and other protests.




*In Bergen County, NJ, Residents Against Racism rally on May Day. Andrea Pason holds a Residents Against Racism banner with Greg Pason, National Secretary of SP-USA.*



*A young woman protests at a May Day rally in San Francisco. Photo by David Hanks.*



*In San Diego, CA, the Friends of Day Laborers stage a protest outside the County Administration Building during a federal congressional hearing on immigration.*



*Bottom right: A man holds a sign that reads, “The people united will never be defeated” at a pro-immigrant rally in New Jersey.*

---

# Socialist Summer

*This summer, the Socialist Party USA launched three activist campaigns. Here, we provide a report on each. We encourage all Party members to join us in these campaigns.*

---

## Fair Food Campaign

by Steven Sears

In the May Day edition of *The Socialist*, we reported the current action against McDonald's by the Coalition of Immokalee Workers (CIW). On Saturday, April 1, 2006--the fifth anniversary of the Taco Bell Boycott--farmworkers, allies, and Socialist Party members converged on Chicago to send McDonalds a message: no more sweatshops in the fields. The action is also aimed at Chipotle, a quick-service Mexican food restaurant company that is also part of the McDonald's group.

The March in Chicago was a great success. As a follow-up, CIW co-founder Lucas Benitez spoke at the McDonalds Shareholder meeting. The CIW, SFA, and their allies continue to do what they do best, educate and agitate. But McDonalds has not been quiet either. Their long awaited study, *Economic Impact: Tomatoes in Florida*, was released to what could be best describe as utter disbelief. The study was prepared by the Center for Reflections and Action (CREA) and paid for by McDonald's.

McDonald's stands by this study and may be the only party to do so. Professor Bruce Nissen, Director of the Research Institute on Social and Economic Policy, Florida International University, released a report that is critical of not only the study's conclusions, but of the methods and data used to reach those conclusions. He has been joined by over 30 scholars from the fields of labor law and labor relations, including a former General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board and the Dean of the University of Maine School of Law.

The central finding in this report is incredible. CREA concludes that farmworkers working for "grower A" are not poor, but earn an average of \$14 an hour with the highest earners pulling

in well over \$18 an hour. This \$18 per hour would actually put farmworkers above the 70th percentile of all Florida workers.

At the June meeting of the National Committee of the Socialist Party USA in Milwaukee, the Socialist Party endorsed the Alliance for Fair Food campaign. This fair food, not fast food, campaign is part of the Socialist Summer activist campaigns.

You can join the Fair Food campaign. Packets of information, which include a DVD with several videos, are available from the National Office. You can also contact me directly at [steve@spwi.org](mailto:steve@spwi.org).

I admire many things about the CIW. One is how they sum up things so simply. One of my favorites is: C + C = C. Consciousness + Commitment = Change.

Join us in making a change in the fields of Florida.●

*Steve Sears is the Chair of the SP-USA Alliance for Fair Food Campaign.*

---

## Anti-Recruitment Campaign

by Tina Phillips

The Youth Commission of the Socialist Party has the honor of assisting with the Socialist Party's Anti-Recruitment Campaign for Socialist Summer 2006. We would like to see you become active in anti-recruitment activities in your Locals and in your communities. We are offering assistance to Locals and individuals for getting out and active. We want to do everything we can to ensure that the anti-recruitment campaign is a success.

We have three goals for this campaign: a national day of action, an opt-out drive in high schools, and counter-recruitment tabling and picketing.

In Locals where there is involvement from high school and college students, we would also like to see an opt-out drive in high schools this fall. And finally, we encourage locals and individuals to stage regular counter-recruitment tabling and picketing at schools, colleges, and universities where the military has an active presence.

We are currently developing a campaign tabling guide that may be downloaded from the SP-USA website. This guide explains how to table, picket, and obtain materials for an anti-recruitment action.

We held a workshop at the SP-USA Organizing Conference, facilitated by Ben Schwab with with skill-sharing from attendees. ●

*The co-conveners of this campaign are Tina Phillips: [tinarphillips@yahoo.com](mailto:tinarphillips@yahoo.com); phone: (510) 304-7881, and Benjamin Schwab: [benschwabsocialist@gmail.com](mailto:benschwabsocialist@gmail.com), phone: (812) 360-8150. Please feel free to contact one of us with any questions, comments, or suggestions.*

---

## NOLA Campaign

by the Common Ground Collective

Residents of the Lower 9th Ward in New Orleans have become increasingly concerned with the process, or lack thereof, of the demolition of properties since hurricane Katrina. In any other New Orleans neighborhood, the ECC is required to have a "Right of Entry" consent formed signed by the homeowner.

In the Lower 9th Ward, the City of New Orleans has planned to simply notify the 2,100 homeowners by publishing a list in the *Times Picayune* and the city's website.

The *Times Picayune* is a local newspaper and is not accessible to the thousands of displaced residents scattered around the country, and the City of New Orleans' website is frequently non-functioning. Residents feel this lack of process is a gross violation of their rights as property owners.

The city and FEMA have told residents that they have had six months to return home and collect salvageable belongings from the wreckage and contact the city regarding demolition of their property. From the resident's perspective, the neighborhood was completely sealed off by federal security forces until December. These forces include the Homeland Security Police and the National Guard, which have disallowed homeowners access

***Socialist Summer, continued on p. 8***



to their properties for more than three months.

In addition, the absolute lack of transparency regarding the City of New Orleans' intentions for the neighborhood and FEMA's process has left many residents misinformed and confused.

However, residents of the Lower 9th Ward and Common Ground, which stands in solidarity with the community, believe that the six months it has taken for FEMA, the Corp of Engineers, and the ECC to coordinate their process for debris removal constitutes gross negligence.

We also assert that this negligence, which may stem from a myriad of issues, including disorganization and the lack of political will, should not continue to slow the process for the clean-up of the neighborhood.

While residents of the Lower 9th Ward are concerned that their basic property rights are being undermined, they are more concerned that if the demolitions and debris removal do not occur soon, the burden of doing so will fall onto the property owners themselves.

It is our understanding after conversations with the Corp of Engineers, that their contract is only until June and then after that the cost of clean-up will become the burden of the homeowners. Many homeowners are not in a position financially to assume this burden and are afraid that the property will then be seized by the City of New Orleans as a "blighted property," causing owners to lose all rights to their land. ●

If you would like to help the residents of the Lower 9th Ward, you may send a donation, payable to Common Ground, to:

Sean White  
c/o Common Ground/  
Lower Ninth Ward Project  
1415 Franklin Avenue  
New Orleans, LA 70117

## Frank Zeidler's Stubborn Integrity

### Book Review

by Quinn Brisben

*Editor's note: Frank Zeidler died on September 7, 2006, at the age of 93. This book review was written prior to his death.*

Frank P. Zeidler, *A Liberal in City Government: My Experiences as Mayor of Milwaukee*, Milwaukee Publishers LLC, PO Box 14062, Milwaukee WI 53214, 2005, 202pp. \$19.95 (paper), \$35.95 (hardcover) milwaukeepublishers.com

This is not new writing. This account of Frank Zeidler's 1948 mayoral campaign, his daily attendance to his duties during the next twelve years, and his heroic but ultimately futile struggle to keep his city from being dominated by irresponsible wealthy persons who did not live there and had no interest in its viability, was written in 1962, deposited among his papers in the Milwaukee Public Library, and is just now being published by his friends. It is a typically modest work. It barely mentions his work for a war memorial, a sports arena, a new main public library, a new museum, and a new stadium that brought major league baseball to Milwaukee for the first time.

It does not mention his strong support of public schools, the park system, and branch libraries, nor his work for civil rights and decent housing that caused him to be vilified by racist reactionaries. It does not mention that Frank Zeidler spent much of his time during his mayoral years working to promote the United Nations and its Universal Declaration of Human Rights, nor his work for the Socialist Party nationally, nor his church work, nor his exemplary fulfillment of his duties as a husband and the father of six children. He does credit a picture

of him with his wife Agnes and the children walking near what is still the family home with convincing voters that he was not the Stalinist villain that the opposition press claimed him to be.

He was a socialist and had been one since 1932. He met his wife through Socialist Party activities, and he is still a Socialist at age 93. If there are socialists of longer standing, I have no doubt that Frank Zeidler can tell you about every one of them. He is part of a tradition of Milwaukee Socialist mayors that began with Emil Seidel and continued with Daniel Hoan, whose long career ended in 1940 when he was defeated by an independent progressive named Carl Zeidler, Frank Zeidler's older brother. The brothers were never enemies despite differences in political philosophy, and Carl Zeidler was a local hero who had resigned his post to join the Naval Reserve and who had been killed when his ship was torpedoed off South Africa. The people of Milwaukee were thus not afraid of Socialists even at the height of the Cold War and Frank Zeidler had a name well-known locally, but this did not mean that there was a strong Milwaukee Socialist organization nor that victory was possible without a fairly broad coalition.

With the Socialist Party's national decline in the 1930s, many Milwaukee Socialists, like Andrew Biemiller, later responsible for Medicare legislation, had moved over to the Democrats. The once powerful Republican Progressives had effectively disappeared after the senatorial defeat of Robert LaFollette, jr., by Joseph McCarthy in 1946. A coalition of progressive elements called the Municipal Enterprise

*Zeidler, continued on p. 9*



Committee, later the Public Enterprise Committee which is still active in Milwaukee affairs, backed Frank Zeidler in an open primary and in the run-off election against Henry Reuss, later a US Representative with a generally good record. Like many of Frank Zeidler's one-time political opponents, he remained an admirer and supporter of his former rival.

Frank Zeidler did not use his coalition to build a machine.

"I made every effort to keep my campaign pledge to see that all appointments were based on merit. As a result, some of the appointments went to individuals who had endorsed other candidates in this intense election but who had demonstrated their capacity to serve the public. Some of my own friends were dubious of such appointments. My opponents expected I would take revenge. Revenge was a common practice for those who won elections, but it was not a practice I would follow. The future problems were almost always more important to me than past grievances ..."

Frank Zeidler administered Milwaukee honestly, efficiently, and with a difference:

"At times in various cities of the nation, local government does not exist for the benefit of the people, but for the benefit of the political clique in power ... Under such conditions, improvement in the city is not made because it ought to be done or because it is good for the people. It is done because the party boss of the ruling clique thinks it is good for him and his followers. A street is not paved because it needs paving. It is paved either because it gives work to party henchmen, or because it gives work to a grafting contractor who kicks back money into the party till, or because if the



*Frank Zeidler, 1912 - 2006: Chairperson Emeritus, Socialist Party USA; Socialist Party candidate for President 1976; Socialist Mayor of Milwaukee WI 1948-1960*

street is not paved it will become a political issue to be used against the party."

Governing for the benefit of the people may win you three terms against the opposition of big money and the press, in the case of Hearst's Milwaukee Sentinel a particularly vicious opposition press, but it will not give you the funds or the self-interested workers to build a permanent party machine in capitalist America. Frank Zeidler is beloved in Milwaukee and a proud part of the heritage of this country's only left party to have a record of governance as well as of advocacy, but even this most determined and tireless worker I have ever known could not change the basis of the system. His twelve years of good government were not socialism, but, unlike even the best efforts of major party reformers, they moved consistently in the direction of socialism

## **Frank Zeidler**

*by Quinn Brisben*

He was, for as long as the most battered  
Of us can recall, our oboe's A,  
Plain and true, to which we could tune  
Our actions, knowing that strong truth  
Was embodied in that frail, mild man  
Who did so much for so long and  
Who sought nothing, not even the honor  
We gave him freely; he just worked  
For us, for everyone, for a world  
Not yet born and for pleasant sidewalks  
And well-used parks  
Right here and now.

*Zeidler, continued on p. 16*

# Fall Electoral Campaigns

by Jeff Brindle

This summer members of the Socialist Party took to the streets across the country as part of campaigns supporting Fair Food/Immigrant Workers, Anti-Recruitment, and New Orleans/Ninth Ward Solidarity. Against such an exciting backdrop, it's easy to forget about all of our other campaigns. As we move into fall, the number of electoral campaigns are triple the number of our activist campaigns.

While many of our members are primarily activists, our growing slate of candidates shows that the Socialist Party does not divorce electoral politics from other strategies for basic change. We see activist and electoral campaigns, not as forks in the road to a true socialist society, but as complementary methods of effecting both political and cultural change in our society.

The Socialist Party is a multi-tendency organization. Some candidates believe that socialism can be voted in. Others believe that electoral campaigns serve an important function in educating people about socialism and distinguishing it from capitalist alternatives. All our candidates however are fighting for progressive changes that point the way towards a socialist future, and are doing so independent of the capitalist-controlled two-party system. These changes, as embodied in the Socialist Party's platform, are fundamental and set us apart from those in the Left who talk Socialist but vote Democrat.

Most people know the Socialist Party USA as an organization in which people join, pay dues, work on commissions and organize local

chapters. The dues we pay as members enable our many activist campaigns, support the magazine you are reading right now, and provide for a National Office. All are worthy expenditures by our Party to be sure. It comes as a surprise to a great many members though that none of their dues can go towards supporting our Party's electoral campaigns.

Political parties, under federal law, are nothing more than a collection of ballot lines and bank accounts organized for funding and nominating candidates. As both a political party and a membership-based activist and educational organization, we are compelled by campaign finance regulations to be two separate legal entities under one umbrella.

The Socialist National Committee is our Party's general election fund, and the embodiment of our legal status as a political party. It raises private donations from individuals--separate from their membership dues--then contributes that money to Socialist candidates. It conducts its electoral activity through a Campaign Clearinghouse. While our Party's National Commission controls the SNC fund, the Clearinghouse Coordinator runs the Clearinghouse on a day-to-day basis. The Clearinghouse Coordinator has a similar relationship to party campaigns as the National Office and National Secretary has to Locals.

Fundraising for the Socialist National Committee is an important function, but not the only function, of the Campaign Clearinghouse. Besides providing financial assistance to our candidates, the

## SP-USA Candidates

**Florida:** Atlee Yarrow is running for Governor.

**Georgia:** Jonathan Post ran for SGA President at Reinhardt College.

**Oregon:** Two Socialist Party candidates are running for the OR State House of Representatives. Charley Nims is running for State Representative of the 42nd District, and Cliff Shaw is running for State Representative of the 44th District. Walt Brown is running for the U.S. House of Representatives (3rd District).

**Massachusetts:** Eric Chester is running for Congress in the 1st District.

**Michigan:** Matt Erard is running for State Representative in the 53rd District while Jacob Woods aims for a seat on the State Board of Education.

**New Jersey:** Greg Pason is running again for U.S. Senate while Tommy Silva contested the Mayoral race in Paterson. Willie Norwood is running as the Socialist Party candidate for Congress in the 2nd district.

**Pennsylvania:** Stanley Hetz is running for U.S. Senate while Gabriel Ross runs Congress in the 12th District. Jeff Brindle is seeking the 26th House District's seat in the PA General Assembly.

**Vermont:** Peter Diamondstone is running for U.S. Senate while Jane Newton aims for the House of Representatives. Mary Alice Herbert is running for Lieutenant Governor while Jerry Levy tries for Auditor of Accounts.

*Fall Campaigns, continued on p. 11*



Campaign Clearinghouse works to provide our candidates with additional resources to make their campaigns as effective as possible.

The Campaign Clearinghouse maintains an email discussion list just for Socialist Party candidates to serve as a springboard for electoral action. The intent is to help first time candidates connect with more experienced candidates and with the Clearinghouse Coordinator with the hope that this will facilitate the exchange of ideas and lead to the betterment of Socialist candidacies.

The Campaign Clearinghouse provides each candidate, if they so desire, with free web space and an email forwarder at [www.votesocialist.info](http://www.votesocialist.info). The Clearinghouse also offers help creating and maintaining a campaign website. Now none of our candidates will lack the ability to reach people through the Internet, one of the few places left where the playing field is still level.

The Campaign Clearinghouse also works to get SP literature in the hands of our candidates so they can get it in the hands of voters. All of our Party pamphlets can be downloaded individually from the Campaign Clearinghouse and can be obtained in bulk through the Clearinghouse Coordinator. The Campaign Clearinghouse has also adapted our Party's A Lot of What's Best About America Is Socialist pamphlet into a Socialist Values campaign pamphlet. All candidates can request a customized version of this pamphlet from the Clear-

Fall Campaigns, continued on p. 13

## National Secretary Runs for U.S. Senate

by Gregory Pason



*Editor's note: Gregory Pason, the National Secretary of the Socialist Party USA, has been nominated as a Socialist Party candidate for U.S. Senate. This is his personal campaign statement.*

I am a 40-year-old husband and father to a 12-year-old son. I'm a social justice activist, a former local union chair (NJ National Writers Union). I am currently active in local labor actions, including the Alliance for Fair Food and Industrial Workers of the World's General Defense Committee, as well as in anti-racist organizations like Residents Against Racism and Anti-Racist Action and other grassroots coalitions and projects.

As an activist, I understand that real change must come from below, from the community, from rank-and-file unionists, students, the poor and working class--groups that have lost influence while big business, corporations and special interests have been given full access to our "representatives."

Today, in the United States, the gap between the richest 10% and the rest of us is growing. Billions of dollars are being spent on imperialist interventions across the globe to create new markets for U.S. corporate interests and thousands of working people are being killed and families destroyed.

While the government--Republicans and Democrats alike--speaks of spreading democracy abroad, democratic structures in the United States are eroding, and basic services are being cut for the poor and working class. **My campaign calls for:**

1. Immediate withdrawal from Iraq and Afghanistan and ending U.S. intervention abroad.

2. Slashing the military budget and investing that money on basic needs like:

- a socialized healthcare system (including full reproductive health care)
- free education from pre-K to post secondary

Pason, continued on p. 12

- alternative energy and ending our dependence on fossil fuels
- a guaranteed living wage and jobs for all
- fully funded mass transit, national rail and national airline systems
- housing for all, and a real commitment to ending homelessness
- national childcare, paid family leave and at least 2 weeks paid vacation
- creating a progressive tax system

3. Ending the “War on Terror” and ending immigrant detentions and harassment.

4. Empowering unions by repealing Taft-Hartly, the Hatch Act and “right to work” laws and giving amnesty to all immigrant workers.

5. Working to end racism, sexism, and homophobia.

6. Abolishing the World Bank and IMF and pro-capitalist agreements like NAFTA, GATT and the FTAA.

There is absolutely no reason why anyone should be without healthcare, housing, a healthy environment or other basic needs. We must end giveaways to the rich and corporations and build a society that is pro-people not pro-profit.

There is absolutely no reason why we should be invading countries across the globe and making enemies everywhere. We must end this policy right now, withdraw troops and close military bases overseas.

If we really want to improve people’s lives, empower working-class people, and change our economic system, we must break with the Democrats and Republicans and build a movement that stands for the rights of people, not profits. The Socialist Party has led the way in the past, speaking up for workers and immigrants rights, against war and for women’s equality.

Important issues will not be discussed or debated without alternative voices. I hope to have your vote in November. ●

## A Radical Alternative in Vermont

by Eric Chester and Mary-Alice Herbert

Bernie Sanders has become an icon for progressives throughout the country. He has cultivated an image as a courageous dissenter standing up to the entrenched powers. The reality is very different. This November, as Sanders seeks to enter the U.S. Senate, the voters of Vermont will have a genuine alternative.

Peter Diamondstone has been nominated as a candidate of both the Liberty Union Party and the Socialist Party for the open U.S. Senate seat. Both Sanders and Diamondstone have been active in Vermont politics for more than thirty years, but, starting at a similar point, they have traveled in very different directions.

When Bernard Sanders was first elected to the U.S. Congress in 1990, Republican Senator Jim Jeffords summed things up. The election results were about right because Vermont was about one third Republican, one third Democratic and one third Socialist. Unfortunately, Sanders failed to meet these expectations.

During his early political career in Vermont, as a candidate in the Liberty Union Party from 1972 to 1976, and as an independent later in the 1970s, Sanders referred to himself as a socialist. Since then, references to his socialist politics have become more and more infrequent. In his latest incarnation, Sanders has become a pure and simple reformer, and not a very bold one at that.

In 1981, Sanders was elected mayor of Burlington as an independent. After his election, his supporters wore a popular t-shirt that proclaimed “The Peoples Republic of Burlington.” Yet during his eight years as mayor of Vermont’s largest city, Sanders began the “gentrifica-

tion” of Burlington. Much of the lakefront went to big developers, who constructed luxury condominiums and tourist attractions. Welfare rolls halved in Burlington, while they doubled in outlying trailer park towns. As a result, a large section of the Vermont Democratic Party became “Bernie Democrats,” and these voters have supported him ever since.

By this time, Sanders was an up and coming politician on the make. In 1984, he actively campaigned for Walter Mondale as the lesser of two evils. In every presidential campaign since then, Sanders has endorsed the Democratic candidate on the basis of lesser evil politics.

In 1990, Sanders was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives, appearing on the ballot as an independent. He soon joined the Democratic Party caucus and voted accordingly. If Vermonters can be said to be almost unanimous about anything, it is being opposed to war. Sanders supported the economic blockade of Iraq that killed hundreds of thousands of women and children. He then supported the military occupation of Afghanistan and Iraq. Sanders voted to fund the war in Iraq as recently as last November. Finally, under overwhelming popular pressure, Sanders has declared his support for a nebulously defined phased withdrawal of U.S. troops from Iraq. He just voted for HR 282, the Iran Support Act that orders Bush to search Iran for WMDs.

Although Sanders still likes to claim he is an independent, he is closely tied to the Democratic Party. Leading Democrats, includ-



ing Howard Dean, the chair of the Democratic National Committee, have enthusiastically endorsed him for the Senate. Sanders uses Democratic Party bigwigs to raise fund from the affluent. Indeed, Sanders acts to discourage any break with mainstream politics, rather than encouraging it. Some months ago, he brokered a deal

---

## [Diamondstone] calls for the immediate withdrawal of all U.S. troops from Iraq and Afghanistan.

---

with the Vermont Democratic Party under which he would discourage the Progressive Party, which he initiated while mayor, from nominating any candidates for statewide office in 2006, and, in return, the Democratic leadership would actively discourage its politicians from filing for the Democratic primary. Sanders had tried this ploy before, but this time it failed. In spite of the best efforts of the Democratic Party's

leadership, its primary for the U.S. Senate has become hotly contested. Concerned that the Democratic nominee would draw off enough votes to allow the well-heeled Republican to be elected by a plurality, Sanders recently filed for the Democratic primary. He thus made explicit what has been obvious for some time; Sanders is a liberal Democratic politician who seeks to preempt any move toward a truly independent politics in Vermont.

Peter Diamondstone helped found the Liberty Union Party in 1970. As Sanders has opportunistically sidled into the corporate mainstream, Diamondstone has continued to organize as a radical, in the electoral arena and on the streets. He is one component of a slate of candidates jointly nominated for the coming election by the Liberty Union Party and the Socialist Party. Diamondstone has opposed the occupation of Iraq from the start. He calls for the immediate withdrawal of all U.S. troops from Iraq and Afghanistan. Diamondstone believes that the United States needs to drastically reduce its military spending, and use the hundreds of billions of dollars thus saved for essential schools, hospitals, mass transit and low-cost housing. He remains a committed democratic socialist working to build a new society through grass-roots activism.

Vermont's voters will have a real choice in November. It should be an interesting election. ●

*Eric Chester ran for Congress in the 1st District of MA. He is a member of the Grassroots Tendency. Mary-Alice Herbert is running for Lt. Governor in Vermont.*

inghouse Coordinator, tailored specifically to their campaigns.

Many of our members are interested in electoral action and want to run for one office or another but simply don't know where to start or exactly what they'd be getting into. Qualifications and obligations differ depending on where a member would be running, and the Clearinghouse Coordinator works with potential candidates to help them understand what they would need to do. The Clearinghouse Coordinator is there to act as an advisor and assistant to any candidate who requests such help and to help provide them with the tools they need to make their campaigns as effective as possible. ●

*Jeff Brindle is the Campaign Coordinator for the Socialist Party USA and is a member of the Fist and Rose Tendency. He is seeking the 26th House District's seat in the PA General Assembly.*

### For More Information

To learn more about our growing slate of candidates, visit the Campaign Clearinghouse at [www.votesocialist.info](http://www.votesocialist.info). If you would like to help our candidates out financially while you're there, click on "Make a Donation" to contribute to the SNC. If you would like to help the Party with its electoral campaigns, either as a candidate or in some other way, email Jeff Brindle at [coordinator@votesocialist.info](mailto:coordinator@votesocialist.info).

---

# Reacting to the Attack on Reproductive Rights

By Tina Phillips

On March 7, 2006, South Dakota Governor Mike Rounds signed HR 1215, a law that criminalizes abortion unless the procedure is necessary to save the woman's life. The law makes no exception in cases of rape or incest.

This is an obvious attempt to provoke a court battle challenging *Roe v. Wade*, the 1973 U.S. Supreme Court decision that legalized abortion. Over the years, anti-choice activists have employed an "erosion" strategy, slowly stripping women of their reproductive rights with the ultimate aim of overturning *Roe v. Wade*. The recent appointments of John Roberts and Sam Alito to the Supreme Court have anti-choice activists hopeful that *Roe v. Wade* will be reversed.

HR 1215 was scheduled to take effect on July 1, 2006, but on May 20, pro-choice activists in South Dakota filed a petition for a measure on the November ballot that would repeal the law. Their measure qualified for the ballot and prevented the law from taking effect as scheduled.

The same activists are now hitting the streets to educate people about the ballot measure. Polls show that the majority of South Dakotans oppose HR 1215, but now Ohio is trying to pass a similar bill. Reproductive rights are in danger in many parts of the country.

## Proposition 73

In late 2005, anti-choicers in California tried to deprive minors of their right to privacy with Proposition 73. Proposition 73 would have required all abortion providers to notify parents if a minor

sought an abortion. I campaigned against Prop 73 and did outreach at my local university.

In talking with other women, I came to understand that without safe and private access to abortion

---

Over the years,  
anti-choice  
activists have  
employed an  
"erosion" strat-  
egy, slowly strip-  
ping women of  
their reproduc-  
tive rights with  
the ultimate aim  
of overturning  
*Roe v. Wade*.

---

services, women are willing to find other, often dangerous methods for terminating an unwanted pregnancy.

Proposition 73 failed by a narrow margin, but it did fail. It was thwarted by the grassroots efforts of thousands of activists. It was a sweet victory that helped preserve the rights of women and youth.

However, Proposition 73 is back. It will appear on the November ballot in a slightly altered form as

Proposition 85, so we are now gearing up for another campaign.

Currently, 44 other states have notification or consent laws, which require consent of parents for minors to obtain abortions. Most of these states allow for a judicial bypass. However, many minors are too timid to tackle the legal system. We need to work to end these restrictions for young women because they are discriminatory, and because they can lead to the injury and death of young women.

## Bans on 'partial birth' abortions

In 2003, the Partial Birth Abortion Ban Act (the Act) was passed by U.S. congress. It was ruled unconstitutional because it provided no exceptions, even for the health of the woman. However, the Bush Administration wants the Supreme Court review the Act again, and the Supreme Court has agreed.

"Partial birth abortion," so named by the anti-choicers, is actually a procedure called "intact dilation and evacuation." This procedure is generally performed as a late-term abortion in emergency situations. It is used only when the health of the pregnant woman is in jeopardy. Consequently, any ban is essentially useless, except as a political maneuver.

Many states have tried to pass these bans as well, but most have been struck down because they were too broad in scope and did not protect women's health. However, each attempt helps build the anti-choice movement, employing scare tactics to coerce people into supporting anti-choice measures.



## Hyde Amendment

The Hyde Amendment prevents Medicaid from paying for abortions for low-income women. The Socialist Party has worked to repeal the Hyde Amendment, and we must continue. Women have died from back alley procedures because they did not have access to safe abortion services. Women will continue dying from unsafe procedures if these restrictions remain in place.

Anti-choice activists support a society that does not focus on reducing unintended pregnancies. If they did, they would support comprehensive sex education and full access to reproductive health care for all women and girls. They would also support making the “morning after” pill available over the counter.

Anti-choice activists don't support a social order that would support women if they chose to have a child—with free childcare, financial funding, or other resources they would need. Our society is set up to encourage abortions. In fact, the United States has the highest rate of abortions of any developed nation. As socialists we are working to stop abortions by supporting and working for a society that would support reproductive rights, health care, and education.

We are also working toward a society that supports women who choose to have and raise children. As socialists we firmly believe that the best thing the government can do for women is to allow them to make their own decisions.

When the governor of South Dakota signed the law to ban abor-

tions in his state, he said he did it to protect the most vulnerable of our society, “unborn children.” However, as socialists we believe that he does not have the power to choose for women what they are to do with their own bodies and lives. That choice is the woman's alone. Socialists believe in privacy for pregnant women and do not believe that judges and legislators should make moral, ethical, or health care decisions for women.


Judges and legislators attack reproductive rights, but the social order creates the framework for such attacks. The capitalist system is based on economic, political, social, and sexual exploitation of women. Capitalism and patriarchy are intertwined. The rallying cry of pro-choice activists seems to be “stop the religious and fundamentalist right wing.” However, the Democratic Party and pro-choice organizations such as the National Abortion and Reproductive Rights Action League (NARAL) and the

National Organization for Women (NOW) will not use militant tactics to fight for reproductive rights of women.

They are content with using the legal and legislative system, which can be slow, not always trust-worthy, and does not always work in pro-choice activists' favor. Democrats are content with keeping things as they are, instead of changing the social order that keeps women oppressed—mainly that of the capitalist system itself. Organizations like NARAL and NOW take on the tactics of reform and forget that we are losing the war even if we win small battles here and there.

The Socialist Party urges Americans to be vigilant in defending the reproductive rights of women. The Socialist Party USA demands that women's right to decide what to do with their own bodies be preserved and that the government protect women's right to privacy. We also

*Reproductive, continues on p. 16*



demand an end to patriarchy that exists to remove women's power to make their own choices.

The Socialist Party's platform demands full support for every woman's right to choose when, if, and how to have children, including the right to free abortion on demand at any stage of pregnancy, without interference or coercion. We demand clinics providing abortion services must have the full protection of the law.

We oppose any law that denies women's rights to choose, particularly low-income women, women of color, and young women. We demand full reproductive freedom for all women by removing all discriminating barriers to reproductive rights and reproductive health care. This is why I am a proud member of this party.

The Socialist Party continues to stand for something the democrats don't: a social transformation in the

United States that would not only fully restore women's reproductive rights and preserve them, but one that would allow a fundamental shift to a democratic society based on compassion, empathy, and respect.

We believe the fight for this new society is on going and call people to join us in this struggle for justice based on socialist feminist principles. We believe that we must get out in the streets and in our communities and organize for the society we deserve.

So do not stand on the sidelines and watch as reproductive freedoms are taken away from women. Act now and start organizing in your community today. Use the principles of democratic socialism and socialist feminism to frame your arguments. The threat of anti-choice activists is real. How we respond is up to us. ●

and helped build the reputation of socialism. Frank Zeidler does not call himself a Marxist because he has never believed in the historical inevitability of the socialist triumph, and he has also insisted on the strictest democratic principles inside the party and out. He has also stood consistently for peace, against imperial aggression, and against the abridgement of anyone's civil liberties, even at the height of the Cold War when he was under personal attack.

Frank Zeidler has never been an optimist about the state of his own health or the future of his city or of the planet. His book reflects these qualities, but it can also give us hope and an example to follow. ●

### Please Send Me More Information about SPUSA!

- ☐ I would like more information about the Socialist Party USA.
- ☐ I am interested in joining the Socialist Party USA.
- ☐ I would like to subscribe to *The Socialist Magazine*.

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Phone/e-mail \_\_\_\_\_

Please mail or e-mail your request to:

#### Socialist Party USA

339 Lafayette St., No. 303

New York, NY 10012

Ph. (212) 982-4586

SocialistParty@sp-usa.org

#### Subscription Rates

1-year individual • \$10

1-year institution • \$25

Bulk Rate No. 1: 25 copies/issue • \$45

Bulk Rate No. 2: 50 copies/issue • \$80

## Socialist Party USA

### National Office

339 Lafayette St.

Room 303

New York, NY 10012

Phone: (212) 982-4586

SocialistParty@sp-usa.org

www.sp-usa.org